## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: OXYCONTIN ANTITRUST LITIGATION

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., THE P.F. LABORATORIES, INC., PURDUE PHARMACEUTICALS L.P., RHODES TECHNOLOGIES, and GRÜNENTHAL GMBH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,

Defendant.

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., THE P.F. LABORATORIES, INC., PURDUE PHARMACEUTICALS L.P., and RHODES TECHNOLOGIES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. and MYLAN INC.,

Defendants.

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., THE P.F. LABORATORIES, INC., PURDUE PHARMACEUTICALS L.P., and RHODES TECHNOLOGIES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

EPIC PHARMA, LLC,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED:

04 Md. 1603 (SHS)

**ORDER** 

This document relates to:

11 Civ. 8153 (SHS)

12 Civ. 2959 (SHS)

13 Civ. 683 (SHS)

## SIDNEY H. STEIN, U.S. District Judge.

The Court today issued its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in matters central to the above-captioned actions. The Court declared, *inter alia*, that three patents-in-suit in the above-captioned actions are invalid: U.S. Patent No. 7,674,799; U.S. Patent No. 7,647,800; and U.S. Patent No. 7,683,072 (collectively, "the Low-ABUK Patents").

In light of those declarations, plaintiffs in the above-captioned actions are hereby ordered to show cause on or before January 24, 2014, why they are not collaterally estopped from asserting the Low-ABUK Patents and why the Court should not dismiss all claims in these litigations that rely on the Low-ABUK Patents.

Dated: New York, New York January 14, 2014

SO ORDERED:

Sidney H. Stein, U.S.D.J.